

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

Addr ss: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
087823,980	03/25/97	WEINER		A	0938.002(B&V	J
T ALISA A HAF	BIN	18M2/1209	<u> </u>	SCHWA	EXAMINER ADRON, R	

ALISA A HARBIN
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY R440
CHIRON CORPORATION
4560 HORTON STREET
EMERYVILLE CA 94608-2916

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1816

DATE MAILED:

12/09/97

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

£	Application No. Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	08/823980	Wriner	ciner et al.		
	Row Schu	Group Ladrow (81	Art Unit		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspor	ndence address		
Peri d for Response	30	days			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	-	MONTH(S) FR	OM THE		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, at lif NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defail and the set of extended period for response will, but the set of extended period for response will, but the set of extended period for response will, but the set of extended period for response will, but the set of extended period for response will, but the set of extended period for response will, but the set of extended period for response will, but the set of extended period for response will, but the set of extended period for response will, but the set of extended period for response will, but the set of extended period for response will, but the set of extended period for response will, but the set of extended period for response will, but the set of extended period for response will, but the set of extended period for response will, but the set of extended period for response will, but the set of extended period for response will be set of extended period for extended period for response will be set of extended period for exten	response within the statutoult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	ory minimum of thirty (30) d from the mailing date of th	ays will be considered timel		
Status					
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
☐ This action is FINAL .					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	or formal matters, pros e C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to the mer	its is closed in		
Disp sition of Claims					
\times Claim(s) $40-53$		is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above claim(s)					
□ Claim(s)					
□ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————					
☐ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.			
Claim(s) 40 - 53					
Application Papers		requirement.			
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing I	Review, PTO-948.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		disapproved.			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	d to by the Examiner.				
\square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
\square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International 	priority documents ha	ve been			
*Certified copies not received:		<u> </u>			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s		erview Summary, PTO			
☐ Notice of References Cited DTO 909	Nc	rtice of Informal Patent	Application, PTO-152		
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		her	•		

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

*U.S. GPO: 1997-417-381/62710

Part of Paper No.

- 15. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
- I. Claims 40,42-53 are drawn to HCV peptides and peptide compositions, classified in Class 530, subclass 324 and Class 424, subclass 189.1.
- II. Claim 41 is drawn to a fusion peptide, classified in Class 530, subclass 387.3.
- Inventions I and II are different products. Invention I is drawn to peptides, while invention II is drawn to a fusion protein. These products are structurally different and have different art recognized uses. The fusion protein can include enzyme/peptide fusion proteins wherein said fusion product is used in an ELISA assay wherein the enzyme portion of the molecule reacts with a substrate. Therefore they are novel and unobvious in view of each other and are patentably distinct.
- 17. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II and Groups I and II have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 18. If applicant elects invention I, the following species election is required.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- A) peptide linked to a suitable carrier (42,45,48-51)
- B) peptide linked to a disulfide/amide forming agent (43,46)
- C) peptide linked to a thio-ether forming agent (44,47)

The aforementioned peptide constructs are chemically and functionally distinct.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 40 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that

all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

19. <u>If applicant elects invention A) in the preceding paragraph</u>, the following species election is required.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- A) peptide linked to a particle forming protein (claim 48,49)
- B) peptide linked as per claims 50,51

The aforementioned peptide constructs are chemically and functionally distinct.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 40 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

- 20. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).
- Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 180 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Papers should be faxed to Group 180 at (703) 305-3014.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Dr. Ron Schwadron whose telephone number is (703) 308-4680. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesday through Friday from 8:30 to 6:00. The examiner can also be reached on alternative Mondays. A message may be left on the examiners voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Ms. Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 180 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Serial No. 08/454033 Art Unit 1816

> RONALD B. SCHWADRON PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1816

December 8, 1997